

# ON EXTENDING LEMMA 4

RALPH FREESE

In §5.2 and §5.3 of [4] the authors present two famous direct decomposition theorems. The Birkhoff-Ore Theorem states that an algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  with permuting congruences and a one-element subalgebra has unique factorization as a direct product of directly indecomposable algebras provided that  $\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{A}$  is finite dimensional. Jónsson was able to prove a similar theorem, only assuming that  $\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{A}$  is modular, provided  $\mathbf{A}$  is finite. (Without the assumption of a one-element subalgebra, one gets isotopic versions of both of these theorems, see [4].) A very clear proof, emphasizing the common aspects of these theorems, is given in [4]. The authors ask if these theorems have a common generalization: *does an algebra with a finite dimensional modular congruence lattice have unique factorization?* Lemma 4 of §5.2 of [4] shows exactly where the finiteness of  $\mathbf{A}$  is used in Jónsson's result to prove certain congruences permute. The authors point out that if this lemma could be proved under the weaker assumption that  $\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{A}$  is a finite dimensional modular lattice then the desired generalization would be valid.

Lemma 4 concerns four congruences,  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha'$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\beta'$ , such that  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  form a complementary permuting pair as do  $\beta$  and  $\beta'$ . Moreover,

$$\alpha \wedge \beta' = \alpha' \wedge \beta = 0.$$

The desired conclusion is that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta'$  also permute. In [1] we have been able to prove this under the assumption that the sublattice of  $\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{A}$  generated by these four elements does not have  $\mathbf{M}_4$  as a homomorphic image.

However in this note we construct an algebra which shows that the full generalization of Lemma 4 is not valid.

**Preliminaries.** Let  $\mathbf{G}$  be a group and let  $S$  be a set of endomorphism of  $\mathbf{G}$ . Assume that  $\mathbf{G}$  has no  $S$ -invariant subgroups. We define a unary algebra

$$\mathbf{A} = \langle G \times G, f_{a,b,s} \rangle_{a,b \in G, s \in S}$$

where

$$f_{a,b,s}(x, y) = \langle as(x), s(y)b \rangle.$$

---

This research was partially supported by NSF grant no. DMS89-01756

**Theorem 1** (Gumm [2], [3]). *For the algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  defined above, we have the following.*

Theorem ‘gumm’

- (1) *Both the projections kernels,  $\eta_0$  and  $\eta_1$ , are congruences on  $\mathbf{A}$ .*
- (2) *If  $\sigma$  is an automorphism of  $\mathbf{G}$  which respects, i.e. commutes with, every element of  $S$ , then*

$$\bar{\sigma} = \{\langle \langle a, b \rangle, \langle c, d \rangle \rangle : a\sigma(b) = c\sigma(d)\}$$

*is a congruence on  $\mathbf{A}$ . Moreover, every element of  $\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{A}$ , except  $0_{\mathbf{A}}$ ,  $1_{\mathbf{A}}$ ,  $\eta_0$ , and  $\eta_1$ , has this form.*

- (3)  *$\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{A} \cong \mathbf{M}_n$ , where  $n$  is two more than the cardinality of the set of automorphisms of  $\mathbf{G}$  which respect  $S$ .*
- (4)  *$\eta_i$  permutes with every element of  $\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{A}$ , for  $i = 0, 1$ .*

*Proof.* It is straightforward to verify that  $\bar{\sigma}$  is a congruence and that if  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are distinct, then  $\bar{\sigma} \neq \bar{\tau}$ . The proof of the second statement of (2) is given in Lemma 3.4 of [2], which Gumm credits to Wolk. The other parts of the theorem are either elementary or follow from (2).  $\square$

The next lemma tells when  $\bar{\sigma}$  and  $\bar{\tau}$  permute.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  be distinct automorphisms of  $\mathbf{G}$  which respect  $S$ .*

Theorem ‘perm’

- (1) *The congruences  $\bar{\sigma}$  and  $\bar{\tau}$  permute if and only if the map  $x \mapsto \sigma(x)^{-1}\tau(x)$ , from  $G$  to  $G$ , is onto.*
- (2) *The map  $x \mapsto \sigma(x)^{-1}\tau(x)$  is always one-one.*
- (3) *If  $\mathbf{G}$  is finite, then  $\bar{\sigma}$  and  $\bar{\tau}$  permute.*
- (4) *If  $\mathbf{G}$  is abelian, then  $\bar{\sigma}$  and  $\bar{\tau}$  permute.*

*Proof.* Since  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are distinct, so are  $\bar{\sigma}$  and  $\bar{\tau}$ . Thus  $\bar{\sigma} \vee \bar{\tau} = 1$ . Hence  $\bar{\sigma}$  and  $\bar{\tau}$  permute if and only if for all  $a, b, c, d \in G$  there are  $x, y \in G$  such that  $\langle a, b \rangle \bar{\sigma} \langle x, y \rangle \bar{\tau} \langle c, d \rangle$ . This holds if and only if

$$a\sigma(b) = x\sigma(y) \quad x\tau(y) = c\tau(d).$$

Eliminating  $x$  from these equations, we see that  $\bar{\sigma}$  and  $\bar{\tau}$  permute if and only if there is a  $y$  such that

$$\sigma(y)^{-1}\tau(y) = \sigma(b)^{-1}a^{-1}c\tau(d).$$

since the right side of the above equation can represent any element of  $G$ , (1) follows.

The second part follows from the fact that  $\{x \in G : \sigma(x) = \tau(x)\}$  is an  $S$ -invariant subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}$ . (3) follows from (2). When  $\mathbf{G}$  is abelian,  $\{\sigma(x)^{-1}\tau(x) : x \in G\}$  is also an  $S$ -invariant subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}$ , and hence must be all of  $\mathbf{G}$ .  $\square$

**The example.** Let  $\mathbf{H}$  be a simple, nonabelian group. Let  $\mathbf{G}$  be the direct sum of infinitely many copies of  $\mathbf{H}$  indexed by  $\mathbb{Z}$ , i.e.,

$$G = \{f \in H^{\mathbb{Z}} : f(i) = 1 \text{ for all but finitely many } i\}.$$

Let  $\sigma$  be the shift automorphism of  $\mathbf{G}$ , i.e.,  $(\sigma f)(i) = f(i-1)$ . Let  $T$  be the set of automorphisms on  $\mathbf{G}$  arising from inner automorphisms of  $\mathbf{H}$ , i.e., each  $s \in T$  has the form  $(sf)(i) = x^{-1}f(i)x$  for some  $x \in H$  independent of  $i$ . Let  $S = T \cup \sigma$ .

**Lemma 3.**  $\mathbf{G}$  has no nontrivial subgroups invariant under  $S$ .

Theorem ‘lemma1’

*Proof.* We need to show that if  $f \in G$  is not 1, then the  $S$ -subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}$  generated by  $f$  is  $\mathbf{G}$ . We prove this by induction on the size of the support of  $f$ . If the support of  $f$  is 1, say  $f(i) = 1$  for all  $i \neq 0$  but  $f(0) \neq 1$ , then using the inner automorphisms and the fact that  $\mathbf{H}$  is simple, we obtain elements whose 0<sup>th</sup> coordinate is arbitrary and whose other coordinates are all 1. Using  $\sigma$  we can move this to any coordinate and by using multiplication we can generate an arbitrary element in  $G$ .

Now suppose that the support of  $f$  is at least 2. We may assume that the support of  $f$  lies in  $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$  and that  $f(0) \neq 1$ . Let  $k$  be the next nonidentity coordinate. Again since  $\mathbf{H}$  is simple, we can generate  $g$  from  $f$  such that  $g(0)$  is arbitrary. In particular, we may assume that  $g(0)$  does not commute with  $f(k)$ . Let  $h = [f, \sigma^k(g)]$ . Then  $h(k) = f(k)^{-1}g(0)^{-1}f(k)g(0) \neq 1$  but  $h(i) = 1$  for  $i < k$  and for  $i \geq n$ . Thus  $h$  has strictly smaller support and so we are done by induction.  $\square$

Two more observations. First  $\sigma$  is a group automorphism of  $\mathbf{G}$  which also respects the unary operations  $S$ , i.e.,  $\sigma$  commutes with every  $s \in S$ . Second the map  $f \mapsto f^{-1}\sigma(f)$  is not onto. Indeed, if the coordinates of an element of the form  $f^{-1}\sigma(f)$  are multiplied together backwards, the answer is 1.

Thus combining these facts we see that Lemma 4 of §5.2 of [4] does not hold under the weaker hypothesis that **Con A** is a finite dimensional modular lattice. Indeed, let  $\mathbf{A}$  be the algebra constructed above and let  $\tau$  be the identity automorphism on  $\mathbf{G}$ . Then, if we let  $\alpha = \bar{\sigma}$ ,  $\beta = \eta_1$ ,  $\alpha' = \eta_0$ , and  $\beta' = \bar{\tau}$ , every pair of these elements join to  $1_{\mathbf{A}}$  and meet to  $0_{\mathbf{A}}$  and  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  permute as do  $\beta$  and  $\beta'$ . However,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta'$  do not permute.

On the other hand it follows easily from Lemma 7 of §5.2 that the four algebras,  $\mathbf{A}/\alpha$ ,  $\mathbf{A}/\alpha'$ ,  $\mathbf{A}/\beta$ , and  $\mathbf{A}/\beta'$ , are pairwise modular isotopic. Thus this example does not answer the problem of §5.3, even for the isotopic case.

## REFERENCES

1. R. Freese, *Notes on direct decompositions*, preprint.
2. H. P. Gumm, *Is there a Mal'cev theory for single algebras?*, Algebra Universalis **8** (1978), 320–329.
3. H. P. Gumm, *Algebras in congruence permutable varieties: Geometrical properties of affine algebras*, Algebra Universalis **9** (1979), 8–34.
4. Ralph McKenzie, George McNulty and Walter Taylor, *Algebras, Lattices, Varieties, Volume I*, Wadsworth and Brooks/Cole, Monterey, California, 1987.

UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII, HONOLULU, HI 96822  
*E-mail address:* `ralph@math.hawaii.edu`