

The
generalized
Fermat
equation

Michael
Bennett

Introduction

Results

Modular
methods

The plan of
attack

A sample
signature

Covers of
spherical
equations

Quadratic
reciprocity

The way
forward

The generalized Fermat equation : a progress report

Michael Bennett (with Imin Chen, Sander Dahmen and
Soroosh Yazdani)

University of British Columbia

Hawaii-Manoa : March, 2012

A Diophantine equation : Generalized Fermat

We consider the equation

$$x^p + y^q = z^r$$

where x, y and z are relatively prime integers, and p, q and r are positive integers with

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} < 1.$$

- $(p, q, r) = (n, n, n)$: Fermat's equation
- $y = 1$: Catalan's equation
- considered by Beukers, Granville, Tijdeman, Zagier, Beal (and many others)

A simple case

$$x^p + y^q = z^r$$

where x, y and z are relatively prime integers, and p, q and r are positive integers with

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} = 1.$$

- $(p, q, r) = (2, 6, 3), (2, 4, 4), (4, 4, 2), (3, 3, 3), (2, 3, 6)$
- each case corresponds to an elliptic curve of rank 0
- the only coprime nonzero solutions is with $(p, q, r) = (2, 3, 6)$ – corresponding to $3^2 - 2^3 = 1$

For example : $x^3 + y^3 = z^3$

We write

$$Y = \frac{36(x - y)}{x + y} \quad \text{and} \quad X = \frac{12z}{x + y},$$

so that

$$Y^2 = X^3 - 432.$$

For example : $x^3 + y^3 = z^3$

We write

$$Y = \frac{36(x-y)}{x+y} \quad \text{and} \quad X = \frac{12z}{x+y},$$

so that

$$Y^2 = X^3 - 432.$$

This is 27A in Cremona's tables – it has rank zero and

$$E(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}.$$

A less simple case

$$x^p + y^q = z^r$$

where x, y and z are relatively prime integers, and p, q and r are positive integers with

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} > 1.$$

- $(2, 2, r), (2, q, 2), (2, 3, 3), (2, 3, 4), (2, 4, 3), (2, 3, 5)$
- in each case, the coprime integer solutions come in finitely many two parameter families (the canonical model is that of Pythagorean triples)
- in the $(2, 3, 5)$ case, there are precisely 27 such families (as proved by J. Edwards, 2004)

Back to

$$x^p + y^q = z^r$$

where x, y and z are relatively prime integers, and p, q and r are positive integers with

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} < 1.$$

Some solutions

$$1^n + 2^3 = 3^2,$$

$$2^5 + 7^2 = 3^4,$$

$$3^5 + 11^4 = 122^2,$$

$$2^7 + 17^3 = 71^2,$$

$$7^3 + 13^2 = 2^9,$$

$$43^8 + 96222^3 = 30042907^2,$$

$$33^8 + 1549034^2 = 15613^3,$$

$$17^7 + 76271^3 = 21063928^2,$$

$$1414^3 + 2213459^2 = 65^7,$$

$$9262^3 + 15312283^2 = 113^7.$$

The
generalized
Fermat
equation

Michael
Bennett

Introduction

Results

Modular
methods

The plan of
attack

A sample
signature

Covers of
spherical
equations

Quadratic
reciprocity

The way
forward

Conjecture (weak version $S=0$)

There are at most finitely many other solutions.

The
generalized
Fermat
equation

Michael
Bennett

Introduction

Results

Modular
methods

The plan of
attack

A sample
signature

Covers of
spherical
equations

Quadratic
reciprocity

The way
forward

Conjecture (weak version \$0)

There are at most finitely many other solutions.

Conjecture (Beal prize problem \$100,000)

Every such solution has $\min\{p, q, r\} = 2$.

The
generalized
Fermat
equation

Michael
Bennett

Introduction

Results

Modular
methods

The plan of
attack

A sample
signature

Covers of
spherical
equations

Quadratic
reciprocity

The way
forward

Conjecture (weak version \$0)

There are at most finitely many other solutions.

Conjecture (Beal prize problem \$100,000)

Every such solution has $\min\{p, q, r\} = 2$.

Conjecture (strong version \geq \$100,000)

There are no additional solutions.

What we know

Theorem (Darmon and Granville) If A, B, C, p, q and r are fixed positive integers with

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} < 1,$$

then the equation

$$Ax^p + By^q = Cz^r$$

has at most finitely many solutions in coprime nonzero integers x, y and z .

The state of the art (?)

(p, q, r)	reference(s)
(n, n, n)	Wiles, Taylor-Wiles
$(n, n, k), k \in \{2, 3\}$	Darmon-Merel, Poonen
$(2n, 2n, 5)$	B.
$(2, 4, n)$	Ellenberg, B-Ellenberg-Ng, Bruin
$(2, 6, n)$	B-Chen, Bruin
$(2, n, 4)$	B-Skinner, Bruin
$(2, n, 6)$	BCDY
$(3j, 3k, n), j, k \geq 2$	immediate from Kraus
$(3, 3, 2n)$	BCDY
$(3, 6, n)$	BCDY
$(2, 2n, k), k \in \{9, 10, 15\}$	BCDY
$(4, 2n, 3)$	BCDY

The state of the art : continued

(p, q, r)	reference(s)
$(3, 3, n)^*$	Chen-Siksek, Kraus, Bruin, Dahmen
$(2, 2n, 3)^*$	Chen, Dahmen, Siksek
$(2, 2n, 5)^*$	Chen
$(2m, 2n, 3)^*$	BCDY
$(2, 4n, 3)^*$	BCDY
$(3, 3n, 2)^*$	BCDY
$(2, 3, n), 6 \leq n \leq 10$	PSS, Bruin, Brown, Siksek
$(3, 4, 5)$	Siksek-Stoll
$(5, 5, 7), (7, 7, 5)$	Dahmen-Siksek

The state of the art : continued

The * here refers to conditional results. For instance, in case $(p, q, r) = (3, 3, n)$, we have no solutions if either $3 \leq n \leq 10^4$, or $n \equiv \pm 2$ modulo 5, or $n \equiv \pm 17$ modulo 78, or

$$n \equiv 51, 103, 105 \text{ modulo } 106,$$

or for n (modulo 1296) one of

$$43, 49, 61, 79, 97, 151, 157, 169, 187, 205, 259, 265, 277, 295, \\ 313, 367, 373, 385, 403, 421, 475, 481, 493, 511, 529, 583, \\ 601, 619, 637, 691, 697, 709, 727, 745, 799, 805, 817, 835, 853, \\ 907, 913, 925, 943, 961, 1015, 1021, 1033, 1051, 1069, 1123, \\ 1129, 1141, 1159, 1177, 1231, 1237, 1249, 1267, 1285.$$

Methods of proof

These results have primarily followed from either

- Chabauty-type techniques, or
- Methods based upon the modularity of certain Galois representations

Methods of proof

These results have primarily followed from either

- Chabauty-type techniques, or
- Methods based upon the modularity of certain Galois representations

We will discuss the latter – the former is a p -adic method for (potentially) determining the rational points on curves of positive genus.

Elliptic curves

Consider a cubic curve of the form

$$y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6$$

or, more simply, if we avoid characteristic 2 and 3,

$$E : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$$

with discriminant

$$\Delta = -16(4a^3 + 27b^2) \neq 0.$$

Let us suppose that a and b are rational integers.

Elliptic curves (continued)

For prime p not dividing $\Delta = \Delta_E$, we define

$$a_p = p + 1 - \#E(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

so that, by a theorem of Hasse,

$$|a_p| \leq 2\sqrt{p}.$$

An L -function

Define

$$L(E, s) = \prod_p (1 - a_p p^{-s} + \epsilon(p) p^{1-2s})^{-1}.$$

Since we can write

$$L(E, s) = \sum_n a_n n^{-s},$$

this suggests considering the generating series

$$f_E(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n z}.$$

Note that we have $f_E(z+1) = f_E(z)$.

Modular forms

Definition : A *modular form* (of weight 2 and level N) is a holomorphic function f on the upper half-plane satisfying

$$f\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = (cz+d)^2 f(z)$$

for all

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N),$$

i.e. for $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$, $ad - bc = 1$ and $N \mid c$.

Modular forms (continued)

Fourier expansion : Since $f(z + 1) = f(z)$, we have

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n q^n, \quad q = e^{2\pi iz}.$$

The Modularity Conjecture / Wiles' Theorem

If E is an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} , then the corresponding generating series $f_E(z)$ is a modular form of weight 2 and level N , where N is the *conductor* of the curve E .

The conductor is an arithmetic invariant of the curve E , measuring the primes for which E has bad reduction (i.e. those primes p dividing Δ_E).

The conductor : Szpiro's conjecture

As an aside, let me remark that N_E divides Δ_E . In the other direction, Szpiro conjectures that for $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $c(\epsilon)$ such that

$$|\Delta_E| < c(\epsilon)N_E^{6+\epsilon}.$$

In particular, the ratio

$$S(E) = \frac{\log |\Delta_E|}{\log N_E}$$

should be absolutely bounded.

The conductor : Szpiro's conjecture continued

The example we know with $S(E)$ largest corresponds to

$$E : y^2 + xy = x^3 - Ax - B,$$

where $A = 424151762667003358518$ and

$$B = 6292273164116612928531204122716,$$

which has minimal discriminant

$$\Delta_E = -2^{33} \cdot 7^{18} \cdot 13^{27} \cdot 19^3 \cdot 29^2 \cdot 127,$$

conductor

$$N_E = 2 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 19 \cdot 29 \cdot 127$$

and hence $S(E) = 9.01996 \dots$

Back to modularity : an example

$$E : y^2 + y = x^3 - x^2 - 10x - 20.$$

We compute that, setting $q = e^{2\pi iz}$,

$$f_E(z) = q - 2q^2 - q^3 + 2q^4 + q^5 + 2q^6 - 2q^7 - \dots$$

On the other hand, defining

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= (\eta(z)\eta(11z))^2 \\ &= q \left(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)(1 - q^{11n}) \right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

we find that $f(z) = f_E(z)$ is the (unique) weight 2 modular form of level 11.

Ribet's theorem : level lowering

For our purposes, we are especially interested in modular forms of relatively low level.

In a number of cases, a fundamental result of Ribet enables us to move from consideration of a form $f(z) = \sum_m c_m q^m$ of level N , to a modular form $g(z) = \sum_m d_m q^m$ of level N/l satisfying

$$c_p \equiv d_p \text{ modulo } n$$

for all primes p coprime to Nn , where $l \mid N$ and n are primes.

Ribet's theorem : an example

For example, the elliptic curve

$$E : y^2 = x^3 - 228813x + 42127856$$

has discriminant

$$\Delta = -2^6 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 17^7$$

and conductor

$$N = 2^5 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 17.$$

The corresponding cuspidal newform f has Fourier coefficients

c_5	c_{11}	c_{13}	c_{19}	c_{23}	c_{29}	c_{31}	c_{37}
-1	4	-7	-1	-1	5	2	-2

Ribet's theorem : an example (continued)

Our curve E has conductor $2^5 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 17$ (it's Cremona's 14688r)

c_5	c_{11}	c_{13}	c_{19}	c_{23}	c_{29}	c_{31}	c_{37}
-1	4	-7	-1	-1	5	2	-2

Lurking at level $864 = 2^5 \cdot 3^3$, we find a newform g corresponding to (in the notation of Cremona) the elliptic curve 864d1 :

$$E_1 : y^2 = x^3 - 3x - 6.$$

This form has Fourier coefficients

d_5	d_{11}	d_{13}	d_{19}	d_{23}	d_{29}	d_{31}	d_{37}
-1	-3	0	6	6	-2	9	-2

Fermat's Last Theorem

If $a^n + b^n = c^n$ is a nontrivial solution of the Fermat equation, then the elliptic curve

$$E : y^2 = x(x - a^n)(x + b^n)$$

has minimal discriminant $(abc)^{2n}/2^8$ and conductor $N = \prod_{p|abc} p$.

After a short calculation, one finds that, for prime $n \geq 5$, the aforementioned theorems of Ribet and Wiles guarantee the existence of a weight 2, cuspidal newform of level 2. The nonexistence of such a form completes the proof of Fermat's Last Theorem.

A program for attacking certain $x^p + y^q = z^r$

Given a solution to

$$x^p + y^q = z^r,$$

we would like to

- 1 Construct a “Frey-Hellegouarch” curve $E_{x,y,z}$ with conductor $N_{x,y,z}$

A program for attacking certain $x^p + y^q = z^r$

Given a solution to

$$x^p + y^q = z^r,$$

we would like to

- 1 Construct a “Frey-Hellegouarch” curve $E_{x,y,z}$ with conductor $N_{x,y,z}$
- 2 Consider a corresponding mod “ n ” Galois representation ρ_E with Artin conductor N

A program for attacking certain $x^p + y^q = z^r$

Given a solution to

$$x^p + y^q = z^r,$$

we would like to

- 1 Construct a “Frey-Hellegouarch” curve $E_{x,y,z}$ with conductor $N_{x,y,z}$
- 2 Consider a corresponding mod “ n ” Galois representation ρ_E with Artin conductor N
- 3 Show that this is connected to a weight 2 cuspidal newform of level N

A program for attacking certain $x^p + y^q = z^r$

Given a solution to

$$x^p + y^q = z^r,$$

we would like to

- 1 Construct a “Frey-Hellegouarch” curve $E_{x,y,z}$ with conductor $N_{x,y,z}$
- 2 Consider a corresponding mod “ n ” Galois representation ρ_E with Artin conductor N
- 3 Show that this is connected to a weight 2 cuspidal newform of level N
- 4 Use properties of $E_{x,y,z}$ and the newforms at level N to derive arithmetic information

Potential difficulties

- 1 We are (at present) quite limited in the signatures (p, q, r) for which such a program can be implemented.

Potential difficulties

- ① We are (at present) quite limited in the signatures (p, q, r) for which such a program can be implemented.
- ② Small values of exponents may present problems.

Potential difficulties

- ① We are (at present) quite limited in the signatures (p, q, r) for which such a program can be implemented.
- ② Small values of exponents may present problems.
- ③ We might not derive much (or even any) information!

Possible signatures

Work of Darmon and Granville suggests that restricting attention to Frey-Hellegouarch curves over \mathbb{Q} (or, for that matter, to \mathbb{Q} -curves) might enable us to treat only signatures which can be related via descent to one of

$$(p, q, r) \in \{(n, n, n), (n, n, 2), (n, n, 3), (2, 3, n), (3, 3, n)\}.$$

Possible signatures

Work of Darmon and Granville suggests that restricting attention to Frey-Hellegouarch curves over \mathbb{Q} (or, for that matter, to \mathbb{Q} -curves) might enable us to treat only signatures which can be related via descent to one of

$$(p, q, r) \in \{(n, n, n), (n, n, 2), (n, n, 3), (2, 3, n), (3, 3, n)\}.$$

Of course, as demonstrated by, for example, striking work of Ellenberg, there are some quite nontrivial examples of ternary equations which may be reduced to the study of the form $Aa^p + Bb^q = Cc^r$ for one of these signatures.

Signature $(n, n, 2)$

Given $Aa^n + Bb^n = Cc^2$, we consider the Frey-Hellegouarch curve

$$E_{a,b,c} : y^2 = x^3 + 2cCx^2 + BCb^nx,$$

of discriminant $\Delta_E = 64AB^2C^3 (ab^2)^n$.

Signature $(n, n, 2)$

Given $Aa^n + Bb^n = Cc^2$, we consider the Frey-Hellegouarch curve

$$E_{a,b,c} : y^2 = x^3 + 2cCx^2 + BCb^n x,$$

of discriminant $\Delta_E = 64AB^2C^3 (ab^2)^n$.

Darmon and Merel use this with $A = B = C = 1$ and derive a correspondence between E and an elliptic curve of conductor 32 with complex multiplication.

A new equation via descent

Suppose we have coprime integers a, b and c with

$$a^4 - b^2 = c^n,$$

with $n \geq 7$, say, prime. Then either

$$a^2 - b = r^n \quad \text{and} \quad a^2 + b = s^n,$$

or

$$a^2 - b = 2^\delta r^n \quad \text{and} \quad a^2 + b = 2^{n-\delta} s^n,$$

for some integers r and s , and $\delta \in \{1, n-1\}$.

It follows that

$$r^n + s^n = 2a^2 \quad \text{or} \quad r^n + 2^{n-\delta-1}s^n = a^2,$$

both of which are shown to have no solutions with $|rs| > 1$ in a paper of B-Skinner (for $n \geq 7$). For $n = 5$, the first of these has the solution $(r, s, a) = (3, -1, 11)$.

It follows that

$$r^n + s^n = 2a^2 \quad \text{or} \quad r^n + 2^{n-\delta-1}s^n = a^2,$$

both of which are shown to have no solutions with $|rs| > 1$ in a paper of B-Skinner (for $n \geq 7$). For $n = 5$, the first of these has the solution $(r, s, a) = (3, -1, 11)$.

The solution $r = s = 1$ to the first equation shows up as a modular form of level 256 (with, again, complex multiplication).

More equations via descent

If, instead, we consider

$$a^4 + b^2 = c^n,$$

factoring over $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ leads to a Frey-Hellegouarch \mathbb{Q} -curve.

More equations via descent

If, instead, we consider

$$a^4 + b^2 = c^n,$$

factoring over $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ leads to a Frey-Hellegouarch \mathbb{Q} -curve.

Ellenberg uses this approach to show that the above equation has no nontrivial solutions for prime $n \geq 211$ (subsequently reduced to $n \geq 4$ by B-Ellenberg-Ng).

What can go wrong

If we suppose we have a solution to

$$x^3 + y^3 = z^n,$$

then, in general, all we can prove is that a corresponding Frey curve E is congruent modulo n to a particular elliptic curve F of conductor 72.

What can go wrong

If we suppose we have a solution to

$$x^3 + y^3 = z^n,$$

then, in general, all we can prove is that a corresponding Frey curve E is congruent modulo n to a particular elliptic curve F of conductor 72.

This does enable us to conclude that

- $z \equiv 3$ modulo 6, and
- $n > 10^4$, and
- $n \equiv \pm 1$ modulo 5, etc.

The
generalized
Fermat
equation

Michael
Bennett

Introduction

Results

Modular
methods

The plan of
attack

A sample
signature

Covers of
spherical
equations

Quadratic
reciprocity

The way
forward

The equation $x^3 + y^6 = z^n$

In this case, we can use Frey-Hellegouarch curves to attack
both

$$a^2 + b^3 = c^n \quad \text{and} \quad a^3 + b^3 = c^n.$$

The equation $x^3 + y^6 = z^n$

In this case, we can use Frey-Hellegouarch curves to attack both

$$a^2 + b^3 = c^n \quad \text{and} \quad a^3 + b^3 = c^n.$$

These *multi-Frey* methods can sometimes work well!

The equation $x^3 + y^6 = z^n$

In this case, we can use Frey-Hellegouarch curves to attack both

$$a^2 + b^3 = c^n \quad \text{and} \quad a^3 + b^3 = c^n.$$

These *multi-Frey* methods can sometimes work well!

In this case, careful examination modulo 7 yields the desired result. From the first Frey-Hellegouarch curve, we are able to show that $7 \mid y$. After some work, we find that the second such curve E necessarily has $a_7(E) = \pm 4$, while $a_7(F) = 0$.

The equation $x^2 + y^4 = z^3$

Coprime integer solutions to this equation necessarily have one of

$$y = \pm(s^2 + 3t^2)(s^4 - 18s^2t^2 + 9t^4), \text{ or}$$

$$y = 6ts(4s^4 - 3t^4), \text{ or}$$

$$y = 6ts(s^4 - 12t^4), \text{ or}$$

$$y = 3(s - t)(s + t)(s^4 + 8ts^3 + 6t^2s^2 + 8t^3s + t^4),$$

for s and t coprime integers satisfying certain conditions modulo 6.

The equation $a^2 + b^{4n} = c^3$

We may conclude that

$$b^n = 3(s - t)(s + t)(s^4 + 8s^3t + 6s^2t^2 + 8st^3 + t^4),$$

where

$$s \not\equiv t \pmod{2} \quad \text{and} \quad s \not\equiv t \pmod{3}.$$

The equation $a^2 + b^{4n} = c^3$

We may conclude that

$$b^n = 3(s-t)(s+t)(s^4 + 8s^3t + 6s^2t^2 + 8st^3 + t^4),$$

where

$$s \not\equiv t \pmod{2} \quad \text{and} \quad s \not\equiv t \pmod{3}.$$

We thus deduce the existence of integers A , B and C for which

$$s-t = A^n, \quad s+t = \frac{1}{3}B^n, \quad s^4 + 8s^3t + 6s^2t^2 + 8st^3 + t^4 = -C^n.$$

It follows that

$$A^{4n} - \frac{1}{27}B^{4n} = 2C^n,$$

with ABC odd and $3 \mid B$. There are (at least) three Frey-Hellegouarch curves we can attach to this Diophantine equation:

$$E_1 : Y^2 = X(X - A^{4n}) \left(X - \frac{B^{4n}}{27} \right),$$

$$E_2 : Y^2 = X^3 + 2A^{2n}X^2 + 2C^nX,$$

$$E_3 : Y^2 = X^3 - \frac{2B^{2n}}{27}X^2 - \frac{2C^n}{27}X.$$

The equation $A^{4n} - \frac{1}{27}B^{4n} = 2C^n$

Adding $2B^{4n}$ to both sides of the equation, we find that

$$A^{4n} + \frac{53}{27}B^{4n} = 2(C^n + B^{4n}),$$

and, after some work, that $C + B^4$ is a quadratic non residue modulo 53.

The equation $A^{4n} - \frac{1}{27}B^{4n} = 2C^n$

Adding $2B^{4n}$ to both sides of the equation, we find that

$$A^{4n} + \frac{53}{27}B^{4n} = 2(C^n + B^{4n}),$$

and, after some work, that $C + B^4$ is a quadratic non residue modulo 53.

On the other hand, considering $a_{53}(E_1)$, we find that necessarily

$$(C/B^4)^n \equiv 17 \text{ modulo } 53.$$

The equation $A^{4n} - \frac{1}{27}B^{4n} = 2C^n$

Adding $2B^{4n}$ to both sides of the equation, we find that

$$A^{4n} + \frac{53}{27}B^{4n} = 2(C^n + B^{4n}),$$

and, after some work, that $C + B^4$ is a quadratic non residue modulo 53.

On the other hand, considering $a_{53}(E_1)$, we find that necessarily

$$(C/B^4)^n \equiv 17 \text{ modulo } 53.$$

This is a contradiction for $n \equiv \pm 2, \pm 4 \pmod{13}$.

Proposition

(BCDY) If n is a positive integer with

$$n \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5} \quad \text{or} \quad n \equiv \pm 2, \pm 4 \pmod{13},$$

then the equation $a^2 + b^{4n} = c^3$ has only the solution
 $(a, b, c, n) = (1549034, 33, 15613, 2)$ in positive coprime
integers.

The
generalized
Fermat
equation

Michael
Bennett

Introduction

Results

Modular
methods

The plan of
attack

A sample
signature

Covers of
spherical
equations

Quadratic
reciprocity

The way
forward

A final example : the equation $x^3 + y^{3n} = z^2$

This is a much more subtle case, where we appeal to both parametrizations to $a^3 + b^3 = c^2$ as well as Frey curves attached to $a^2 = b^3 + c^n$

A final example : the equation $x^3 + y^{3n} = z^2$

This is a much more subtle case, where we appeal to both parametrizations to $a^3 + b^3 = c^2$ as well as Frey curves attached to $a^2 = b^3 + c^n$

If, for example, z is odd, the parametrizations imply that

$$b^n = s^4 - 4ts^3 - 6t^2s^2 - 4t^3s + t^4$$

and so

$$b^n = (s - t)^4 - 12(st)^2 = U^4 - 12V^2,$$

to which we attach the \mathbb{Q} -curve

$$E_{U,V} : y^2 = x^3 + 2(\sqrt{3} - 1)Ux^2 + (2 - \sqrt{3})(U^2 - 2\sqrt{3}V)x.$$

The equation $x^3 + y^{3n} = z^2$

After much work, one arrives at ...

Theorem

If $n \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ is prime, then the only solution in nonzero integers to the equation

$$x^3 + y^{3n} = z^2$$

is with $x = 2, y = 1$ and $z = \pm 2$.

Darmon's program

Darmon generalizes the notion of *Frey curve* to that of *Frey abelian variety* to provide a framework for analyzing solutions to

$$x^p + y^p = z^r.$$

Darmon's program

Darmon generalizes the notion of *Frey curve* to that of *Frey abelian variety* to provide a framework for analyzing solutions to

$$x^p + y^p = z^r.$$

The technical machinery required to carry out this program for given prime $r > 3$ and arbitrary p is still under development.