

**REPRESENTATIONS OF POSETS AND DECOMPOSITIONS OF
TORSION-FREE ABELIAN GROUPS**

DAVID ARNOLD, ADOLF MADER, OTTO MUTZBAUER, AND EBRU SOLAK

1. BACKGROUND

Motivation.

Kaplansky.

- **torsion-free abelian group** G = additive subgroup of a \mathbb{Q} -vector space V , $A \leq V$.
- **divisible hull** $\mathbb{Q}A$ = subspace of V spanned by A .
- **rank** $\text{rk } A := \dim \mathbb{Q}A$.
- **rational group** = additive subgroup of \mathbb{Q} .
- **Examples.**
 - \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q} .
 - For P a set of prime numbers,

$$\mathbb{Z}[P^{-1}] := \langle 1/p^n \mid p \in P, n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle.$$

- $\mathbb{Z}[P_1^{-1}] \cong \mathbb{Z}[P_2^{-1}]$ if and only if $P_1 = P_2$. There are 2^{\aleph_0} non-isomorphic rational groups of this “idempotent type”, but there are many more rational groups.
- A **rank-one group** is a group isomorphic with a rational group.

Bad news #1

- A *type* is an isomorphism class of rank-one groups.
- $[A]$ is the type of the rank-one group A . The set \mathbb{T} of all types is a partially ordered set (poset) via $[A] \leq [B]$ if and only if $\text{Hom}(A, B) \neq 0$.

- ***completely decomposable group*** = direct sum of rank-one groups. Completely decomposable group of ***finite rank***:

$$A = A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_n, \quad \text{rk } A_i = 1.$$

- ***homogenous decomposition***

$$A = \bigoplus_{\rho \in T_{\text{cr}}(A)} A_\rho, \quad A_\rho = A_{\rho 1} \oplus \cdots \oplus A_{\rho n_\rho} \neq 0.$$

- (Reinhold Baer 1940)

2. ALMOST COMPLETELY DECOMPOSABLE GROUPS

- (Lee Lady 1974) *Almost completely decomposable group*
= torsion-free group X containing a completely decomposable group A of **finite rank** that has **finite index** in X .

Example 2.1.

$$A := \mathbb{Z}[5^{-1}]v_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}[5^{-1}]v_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}[7^{-1}]v_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}[7^{-1}]v_4;$$

$$X_1 := (\mathbb{Z}[5^{-1}]v_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}[7^{-1}]v_3) + \mathbb{Z}\frac{1}{2}(v_1 + v_3);$$

$$X_2 := (\mathbb{Z}[5^{-1}]v_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}[7^{-1}]v_4) + \mathbb{Z}\frac{1}{3}(v_2 + v_4).$$

Basis change in the homogeneous components of A:

$$\mathbb{Z}[5^{-1}]v_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}[5^{-1}]v_2 = \mathbb{Z}[5^{-1}](3v_1 + 2v_2) \oplus \mathbb{Z}[5^{-1}](v_1 + v_2);$$

$$\mathbb{Z}[7^{-1}]v_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}[7^{-1}]v_4 = \mathbb{Z}[7^{-1}](3v_3 + 2v_4) \oplus \mathbb{Z}[5^{-1}](v_3 + v_4);$$

$$Y := (\mathbb{Z}[5^{-1}](3v_1 + 2v_2) \oplus \mathbb{Z}[7^{-1}](3v_3 + 2v_4)) + \mathbb{Z}\frac{1}{6}((3v_1 + 2v_2) + (3v_3 + 2v_4));$$

X_1, X_2, Y are indecomposable and

$$X := X_1 \oplus X_2 = Y \oplus \mathbb{Z}[5^{-1}](v_1 + v_2) \oplus \mathbb{Z}[7^{-1}](v_3 + v_4).$$

Bad news #2: “pathological decompositions”.

Theorem 2.2. (A.L.S. Corner 1961) *Given integers $n \geq k \geq 1$, there exists a (an almost completely decomposable) group X of rank n such that for any partition $n = r_1 + \cdots + r_k$, there is a decomposition of X into a direct sum of k indecomposable subgroups of ranks r_1, \dots, r_k respectively.*

3. REGULATING SUBGROUPS AND THE REGULATOR

Definition 3.1. (Lee Lady 1974) *X almost completely decomposable.*

- $A =$ **regulating subgroup** of X , if A is a completely decomposable subgroup of X and the index $[X : A]$ is minimal.
- The **regulator** $R(X)$ is the intersection of all regulating subgroups

Theorem 3.2. (Rolf Burkhardt 1984) *Let X be an almost completely decomposable group. Then $R(X)$ is a fully invariant, completely decomposable subgroup of X that has finite index in X .*

Definition 3.3. (Lee Lady 1975) G and H , torsion-free of finite rank, are **isomorphic at p** if there is an integer n prime to p and homomorphisms $f : G \rightarrow H$ and $g : H \rightarrow G$ with $fg = n$ and $gf = n$. The groups G and H are **nearly isomorphic**, $G \cong_{\text{nr}} H$ if they are isomorphic at p for every prime p .

Theorem 3.4. (David Arnold 1982) $X \cong_{\text{nr}} Y$ and $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$, then $Y = Y_1 \oplus Y_2$ for some subgroups $Y_1 \cong_{\text{nr}} X_1$ and $Y_2 \cong_{\text{nr}} X_2$.

Theorem 3.5. (Ted Faticoni and Phill Schultz 1995) *The “indecomposable” decompositions of an almost completely decomposable group X with $X/\text{R}(X)$ a primary group are unique up to near-isomorphism.*

Example 3.6.

$$A := \mathbb{Z}[5^{-1}]v_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}[5^{-1}]v_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}[7^{-1}]v_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}[7^{-1}]v_4;$$

$$X_1 := (\mathbb{Z}[5^{-1}]v_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}[7^{-1}]v_3) + \mathbb{Z}\frac{1}{2}(v_1 + v_3);$$

$$X_2 := (\mathbb{Z}[5^{-1}]v_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}[7^{-1}]v_4) + \mathbb{Z}\frac{1}{3}(v_2 + v_4).$$

$$Y := (\mathbb{Z}[5^{-1}]w_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}[7^{-1}]w_2) + \mathbb{Z}\frac{1}{6}(w_1 + w_2);$$

$$X := X_1 \oplus X_2 = Y \oplus \mathbb{Z}[5^{-1}](v_1 + v_2) \oplus \mathbb{Z}[7^{-1}](v_3 + v_4).$$

Program.

Lemma 3.7. *X, Y almost completely decomposable groups. If $X \cong_{\text{nr}} Y$, then $R(X) \cong R(Y)$ and $X/R(X) \cong Y/R(Y)$.*

Definition 3.8. *A completely decomposable, e positive integer.*

$$\text{RFEE}(A, e) := \{X \leq \mathbb{Q}A : A = R(X), eX \subseteq A\}.$$

(RFEE = “regulated finite essential extension”)

4. REPRESENTATIONS

Rigid homocyclic case (*):

- $A = A_{\tau_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus A_{\tau_n}$, anti-chain $\{\tau_i\}$, where $A_{\tau_i} \neq pA_{\tau_i}$,
- $X \in \text{RFEE}(A, p^m)$, $X/A \cong \langle g_1 \rangle \oplus \cdots \oplus \langle g_r \rangle$
 where $\langle g_i \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$, *homocyclic regulator quotient*.

Definition 4.1. $\text{RH}(A, p^m) := \{X \in \text{RFEE}(A, p^m) \mid (*)\}$.

Definition 4.2. *A completely decomposable*

$$\bar{\cdot} : A \rightarrow A/p^m A : \bar{a} = a + p^m A, \quad \bar{A} = A/p^m A,$$

$\bar{\cdot} : \text{End } A \rightarrow \text{End } \bar{A}$ *induced map.*

Definition 4.3. $X \in \text{RH}(A, p^m)$, $A = A_{\tau_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus A_{\tau_n}$.

\bar{A} *is a free* $\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$ *module,* $\bar{A} = \bar{A}_{\tau_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \bar{A}_{\tau_n}$.

Representation of X : $U_X := (\bar{A}, \bar{A}_{\tau_i}, \overline{p^m X})$

$\alpha \in \text{End } U_X \Leftrightarrow \alpha \in \text{End } \bar{A}$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ *with* $\alpha_i \in \text{End } \bar{A}_{\tau_i}$

and $\alpha(\overline{p^m X}) \subseteq \overline{p^m X}$.

Theorem 4.4. *There is a bijective correspondence from $\text{RFEE}(A, e)$ to (certain) representations such that*

- $X \cong_{\text{nr}} Y$ if and only if $U_X \cong U_Y$,
- X is indecomposable if and only if U_X is indecomposable,
- U_X is indecomposable if and only if the only idempotents in $\text{End } U_X$ are 0 and 1.

Remark 4.5. Used that $A = R(X)$ is fully invariant: $\alpha : X \rightarrow X$ restricts to $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$, induces $\bar{\alpha} : \bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{A}$.

5. REPRESENTING MATRICES

Definition 5.1.

proper basis \mathcal{B} of $\overline{A} = \text{union of bases } \mathcal{B}_i \text{ of the } \overline{A_{\tau_i}}$.

$M_X = [m_{ij}] = \text{representing matrix if}$

$$p^m g_i = \sum_i \sum \{m_{ib} b : b \in \mathcal{B}_i\}.$$

Remark 5.2. $\overline{p^m X} = \vec{\mathbb{Z}} M_X = \text{row space of } M_X.$

Regulator Criterion.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & \| & 0 & 0 & 0 & \| & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \| & 0 & 0 & p & \| & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & p & \| & 0 & 1 & 0 & \| & 1 & 0 & p \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \| & 1 & 0 & 0 & \| & 0 & 1 & p \end{array} \right]$$

6. UNBOUNDED REPRESENTATION TYPE

Recall: $\alpha \in \text{End } U_X \Leftrightarrow \alpha \in \text{End } \overline{A}$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ with $\alpha_i \in \text{End } \overline{A_{\tau_i}}$ and $\alpha(\vec{\mathbb{Z}}M_X) \subseteq \vec{\mathbb{Z}}M_X$.

Theorem 6.1. Indecomposability Criterion.

- $X \in \text{RH}(A, p^m)$,
- U_X , the representation of X ,
- $M := M_X$ a representing matrix of X .

Assume that M^* is a right inverse of M . Let $f^2 = f \in \text{End } U_X$.

Then X is indecomposable if and only if

$$Mf = MfM^*M$$

implies that $f = 0$ and $f = 1$.

Theorem 6.2. *The category $\text{RH}(4, p)$ has unbounded representation type.*

Proof. • $A = n \times n$ matrix with coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_p such that $Ax = xA$ implies that $x \in \{0, 1\}$,

- $X \in \text{RH}(4, p)$ with representing matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} I_n & \parallel & 0 & \parallel & I_n & \parallel & I_n \\ 0 & \parallel & I_n & \parallel & I_n & \parallel & A \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M^* = \begin{bmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & I_n \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad M^*M = \begin{bmatrix} I_n & 0 & I_n & I_n \\ 0 & I_n & I_n & A \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let $f^2 = f$ be a representation idempotent in U_X . Then, for $n \times n$ idempotent matrices a, b, c, d ,

$$f = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & d \end{bmatrix}, \quad Mf = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & c & d \\ 0 & b & c & Ad \end{bmatrix}, \quad MfM^*M = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & a & a \\ 0 & b & b & bA \end{bmatrix}$$

and $Mf = MfM^*M$. Then $c = a = d = b$ and $Ad = bA$, hence $Ab = bA$ and it follows that $b \in \{0, 1\}$ and that $f \in \{0, 1\}$. \square

Theorem 6.3. *The category $\text{RH}(4, p)$ has unbounded representation type.*

Theorem 6.4. *The category $\text{RH}(3, p^3)$ has unbounded representation type.*

Corollary 6.5. *The category $\text{RH}(S, p^m)$ -groups with S an anti-chain has unbounded representation type if*

- $|S| \geq 4, m \geq 1,$
- $S = (1, 1, 1)$ and $m \geq 3.$

7. INDECOMPOSABLE $((1, 1, 1), p^m)$ -GROUPS

Theorem 7.1. *For a given anti-chain of types $\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3\}$, there are, with critical typeset $\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3\}$, up to near-isomorphism one indecomposable $((1, 1, 1), p)$ -group in $\text{RH}(3, p)$ with representing matrix $[1 \parallel 1 \parallel 1]$, and one indecomposable group with representing matrix*

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \parallel & 0 & \parallel & 1 \\ 0 & \parallel & 1 & \parallel & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Theorem 7.2. *A group in $\text{RH}(3, p^2)$ is indecomposable if and only if it is nearly isomorphic to a group having one of the following representing matrices $\left[M_1 \parallel M_2 \parallel M_3 \right]$ or permutations of these.*

(1) *Groups with cyclic regulator quotient:*

$$(a) M_{G_{31}} = \left[1 \parallel 1 \parallel 1 \right], \text{rk}(G_{31}) = 3,$$

one near-isomorphism class,

$$(b) M_{G_{32}} = \left[1 \parallel 1 \parallel p \right], \text{rk}(G_{32}) = 3,$$

three near-isomorphism classes,

(2) *Groups with 2-generated regulator quotient:*

$$(a) M_{G_{21}} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right], \text{rk}(G_{21}) = 3,$$

one near-isomorphism class,

$$(b) M_{G_{22}} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & p & 1 \end{array} \right], \text{rk}(G_{22}) = 4,$$

three near-isomorphism classes,

$$(c) M_{G_{23}} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & p & 1 \end{array} \right], \text{rk}(G_{23}) = 5,$$

three near-isomorphism classes,

$$(d) M_{G_{24}} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & p & 1 & p \end{array} \right], \text{rk}(G_{24}) = 6,$$

one near-isomorphism class,

(3) *Groups with 3-generated regulator quotient:*

$$(a) M_{G_{31}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \parallel & 0 & 0 & \parallel & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \parallel & 0 & 1 & \parallel & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \parallel & 1 & 0 & \parallel & 1 & p \end{bmatrix}, \text{rk}(G_{31}) = 6,$$

one near-isomorphism class,

(4) *Groups with 4-generated regulator quotient:*

$$(a) M_{G_{41}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \parallel & 0 & 0 & 0 & \parallel & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \parallel & 0 & 0 & p & \parallel & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & p & \parallel & 0 & 1 & 0 & \parallel & 1 & 0 & p \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \parallel & 1 & 0 & 0 & \parallel & 0 & 1 & p \end{bmatrix}, \text{rk}(G_{41}) = 9,$$

one near-isomorphism class.