

## SPERNER'S LEMMA: SUMMARY

RALPH FREESE

Let  $P$  be a finite ordered set and let  $L$  be the set of all maximal-sized antichains.

**Theorem 1** (Dilworth).  *$L$  is a lattice.*

Let  $G = \text{Aut}(P)$  be the group of automorphisms of  $P$ . If  $A \in L$  and  $\sigma \in G$  then  $\sigma(A) \in L$ ; so  $G$  acts on  $L$ . Since the greatest element of  $L$  is clearly fixed by every element of  $G$ , we have the following.

**Theorem 2** (Kleitman, et al.). *There is an  $A \in L$  invariant under every  $\sigma \in G$ .*

Let  $X$  be a finite set and consider three  $P$ 's:

- $P$  is all subsets of  $X$ .
- $P$  is all partitions of  $X$ .
- $P$  is all subspaces of a finite dimensional vector space over a finite field.

Each of these  $P$ 's has "levels." (In the second example, the levels are all partitions with  $k$  blocks, for a fixed  $k$ .) In the first and third example  $\text{Aut}(P)$  acts transitively on the levels. So in these cases, if  $a \in A$ , where  $A$  is a maximal-sized antichain invariant under every  $\sigma$ , then all of the elements in the level containing  $a$  are contained in  $A$ ; so  $A$  is just that level since the levels are maximal antichains. So the size of the largest antichain in the first example is the number of subsets of size  $\lceil |X|/2 \rceil$  and the size of the largest antichain of the lattice of subspaces on an  $n$ -dimensional vectors is the number of subspaces of dimension  $\lceil n/2 \rceil$ .

But since the automorphisms of the partition lattice are not transitive, the argument doesn't work in this case. (And in fact the size of a maximal-sized antichain can exceed the size of every level.)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII AT MANOA, U.S.A.  
*E-mail address:* ralph@math.hawaii.edu