Last name, first name, ID number :

1. (6 points) Let n be an integer and Y have a Gamma distribution with parameters $\alpha = \frac{n}{2}$ and $\beta > 0$. Show that the random variable $W = \frac{2Y}{\beta}$ has a Chi-square distribution with n degrees of freedom. <u>Hint</u>: the random variable W has a Chi-square distribution with n degrees of freedom if and only if its moment generating function is $\psi_W(t) = (1-2t)^{-\frac{n}{2}}$.

Solution: The moment generating function of W is

$$\psi_W(t) = E[e^{tW}]$$

= $E[e^{\frac{2t}{\beta}W}]$
= $\psi_W(\frac{2t}{\beta})$
= $\left(1 - \beta(\frac{2t}{\beta})\right)^{-\frac{n}{2}}$
= $(1 - 2t)^{-\frac{n}{2}}$.

Thus W has a Chi-square distribution with n degrees of freedom

2. (7 points) Estimate the probability that the number of heads lies between 40 and 60 (both included) when a fair coin is tossed 100 times.

<u>Hint</u>: If Φ is the c.d.f of $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$, then $\Phi(2) = 0.9772$

<u>Solution</u>: Denote n = 100 and S_n the number of heads when the coin is tossed 100 times. The variable S_n is a sum of n Bernouilli Random variables with mean $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$ and standard deviation $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$. Since n is reasonably large, we can apply the central limit theorem and we get

$$P(40 \le S_n \le 60) = P\left(\frac{40 - 100 \cdot \frac{1}{2}}{\sqrt{10\frac{1}{2}}} \le \frac{S_n - 100 \cdot \frac{1}{2}}{\sqrt{10\frac{1}{2}}} \le \frac{60 - 100 \cdot \frac{1}{2}}{\sqrt{10\frac{1}{2}}}\right)$$
$$= P\left(-2 \le \frac{S_n - 100 \cdot \frac{1}{2}}{\sqrt{10\frac{1}{2}}} \le 2\right)$$
$$\approx P\left(-2 \le Z \le 2\right) \text{ where } Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$
$$= 2P\left(Z \le 2\right)$$
$$\approx 0.9545$$

- 3. Suppose that that X_1, \ldots, X_n form a random sample from a Poisson distribution $P(\lambda)$ whose parameter $\lambda > 0$ is unknown. We assume that λ has an exponential distribution with mean 1 and we observe $X_1 = x_1, \ldots, X_n = x_n$.
 - (a) (5 points) What is the posterior distribution of λ ? <u>Solution</u>:Denote $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ the vector of observations, $\xi(\lambda)$ the p.d.f. of the prior distribution of λ and $f(x|\lambda)$ the p.f. of the joint distribution of (X_1, \ldots, X_n) conditional to λ . The p.f/p.d.f. of the posterior distribution of λ satisfies

$$egin{aligned} &\xi(\lambda)f(x|\lambda) \ &\propto e^{-\lambda}e^{-n\lambda}\lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \ &\propto e^{-(n+1)\lambda}\lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \end{aligned}$$

This is the non-constant term of the p.d.f. of a Gamma distribution with parameters $\alpha = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$ and $\beta = n + 1$, which is the posterior distribution of λ .

(b) (4 points) What is the Bayesian estimate of λ when the square error loss function is used? Solution: This is the expected value of the posterior distribution, that is $\hat{\lambda} = \frac{1+\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}{n+1}$.

(c) (4 points) Write an integral equation that must be satisfied by the Bayesian estimate of λ when the absolute error loss function is used. (Do not try to solve the equation).

<u>Solution</u>: In that case, the Bayesian estimate of λ is the median of the posterior distribution, which means that $\hat{\lambda}$ satisfies the equation

$$\int_{0}^{\hat{\lambda}} \frac{(n+1)^{1+\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}}}{\Gamma(1+\sum_{i=1} x_{i})} e^{-(n+1)\lambda} \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}} d\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$$

4. (7 points) Suppose that X_1, \ldots, X_n form a random sample from the geometric distribution for which the parameter $p \in [0, 1]$ is unknown. Suppose also that we observe $X_1 = x_1, \ldots, X_n = x_n$. Find the maximum likelihood estimate of p.

<u>Solution</u>: For all $1 \leq i n$, the probability function $P(X_i = x_i)$ is given by

$$P(X_i = x_i) = p(1-p)^{x_i-1}.$$

Thus the likelihood function is

$$L(p) = f(x|p) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p(1-p)^{x_i-1} = p^n (1-p)^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i-1)}.$$

where $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is the vector of observations. The maximum likelihood estimate of p is the solution of the maximization problem

$$\max_{p} f(x|p)$$

which is also the solution of

$$\max_{p} \ln(f(x|p))$$

since the natural log function is strictly increasing. Denote $G(p) = \ln(f(x|p))$. Calculating we find

$$G(p) = n \ln(p) + \ln(1-p) \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - 1)$$

hence

$$G'(p) = \frac{n}{p} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - 1)}{1 - p} = \frac{n}{p(1 - p)} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}{1 - p}$$

and G'(p) = 0 if and only if $p = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i} = \frac{1}{\bar{x}_n}$ where \bar{x}_n is the sample mean of the observations. Moreover, we have

$$G''(p) = \frac{n(2p-1)}{p^2(1-p)^2} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{(1-p)^2} \le 0$$

since $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \ge n$. Therefore G(p) has a maximum at $p = \frac{1}{\bar{x}_n}$ which implies that $\hat{p} = \frac{1}{\bar{x}_n}$.