Sets of equations implying semidistributivity and n-permutability

Ralph Freese

Definitions

- Σ is a set of equations.

Definitions

- Σ is a set of equations.
- Σ is idempotent: if F is a function symbol occuring in Σ then $\Sigma \models x \approx F(x, \ldots, x)$.

Definitions

- Σ is a set of equations.
- Σ is idempotent: if F is a function symbol occuring in Σ then $\Sigma \models x \approx F(x, \ldots, x)$.
- A variety $\mathcal{V}$ **realizes** Σ if the function symbols occuring in Σ can be interpreted as $\mathcal{V}$-terms such that the equations of Σ hold.

Definitions

- Σ is a set of equations.
- Σ is idempotent: if F is a function symbol occuring in Σ then $\Sigma \models x \approx F(x, \dots, x)$.
- A variety $\mathcal{V}$ **realizes** Σ if the function symbols occuring in Σ can be interpreted as $\mathcal{V}$-terms such that the equations of Σ hold.
- If $x \approx F(\mathbf{w})$, where $\mathbf{w}$ is a vector of not necessarily distinct variables, then F is **weakly independent** of its i^{th} place for each i with $w_i \neq x$.

Definitions

- Σ is a set of equations.
- Σ is idempotent: if F is a function symbol occuring in Σ then $\Sigma \models x \approx F(x, \ldots, x)$.
- A variety $\mathcal{V}$ **realizes** Σ if the function symbols occuring in Σ can be interpreted as $\mathcal{V}$-terms such that the equations of Σ hold.
- If $x \approx F(\mathbf{w})$, where **w** is a vector of not necessarily distinct variables, then F is **weakly independent** of its i^{th} place for each i with $w_i \neq x$. So a Maltsev term $p(x, y, z)$ is weakly independent of all of its places.

Definitions

- Σ is a set of equations.
- Σ is idempotent: if F is a function symbol occuring in Σ then $\Sigma \models x \approx F(x, \ldots, x)$.
- A variety $\mathcal{V}$ **realizes** Σ if the function symbols occuring in Σ can be interpreted as $\mathcal{V}$-terms such that the equations of Σ hold.
- If $x \approx F(\mathbf{w})$, where **w** is a vector of not necessarily distinct variables, then F is **weakly independent** of its i^{th} place for each i with $w_i \neq x$. So a Maltsev term $p(x, y, z)$ is weakly independent of all of its places.
- Σ', the **derivative** is the augmentation of Σ by equations that say that F is independent of its i^{th} place whenever Σ implies F is weakly independent of its i^{th} place.

The Theorems of Dent, Kearnes, Szendrei

Assume throughout this talk that Σ is idempotent. Then

The Theorems of Dent, Kearnes, Szendrei

Assume throughout this talk that Σ is idempotent. Then

- If Σ' is inconsistent then any variety that realizes Σ is congruence modular (CM).

The Theorems of Dent, Kearnes, Szendrei

Assume throughout this talk that Σ is idempotent. Then

- If Σ' is inconsistent then any variety that realizes Σ is congruence modular (CM).
- If $\mathcal{V}$ is a CM variety, then $\mathcal{V}$ realizes some Σ such that Σ' is inconsistent. (The Day terms work.)

The Theorems of Dent, Kearnes, Szendrei

Assume throughout this talk that Σ is idempotent. Then

- If Σ' is inconsistent then any variety that realizes Σ is congruence modular (CM).
- If $\mathcal{V}$ is a CM variety, then $\mathcal{V}$ realizes some Σ such that Σ' is inconsistent. (The Day terms work.)
- The converse of the first statement is false: if Σ is the lattice axioms, then $\Sigma' = \Sigma$. But

The Theorems of Dent, Kearnes, Szendrei

Assume throughout this talk that Σ is idempotent. Then

- If Σ' is inconsistent then any variety that realizes Σ is congruence modular (CM).
- If $\mathcal{V}$ is a CM variety, then $\mathcal{V}$ realizes some Σ such that Σ' is inconsistent. (The Day terms work.)
- The converse of the first statement is false: if Σ is the lattice axioms, then $\Sigma' = \Sigma$. But
- The converse of the first statement is true if Σ is linear (no nested composition in the terms occuring in Σ).

The Theorems of Dent, Kearnes, Szendrei

Assume throughout this talk that Σ is idempotent. Then

- If Σ' is inconsistent then any variety that realizes Σ is congruence modular (CM).
- If $\mathcal{V}$ is a CM variety, then $\mathcal{V}$ realizes some Σ such that Σ' is inconsistent. (The Day terms work.)
- The converse of the first statement is false: if Σ is the lattice axioms, then $\Sigma' = \Sigma$. But
- The converse of the first statement is true if Σ is linear (no nested composition in the terms occuring in Σ).
- For a finite linear, idempotent Σ one can effectively decide if Σ implies CM.

The Theorems of Dent, Kearnes, Szendrei

Assume throughout this talk that Σ is idempotent. Then

- If Σ' is inconsistent then any variety that realizes Σ is congruence modular (CM).
- If $\mathcal{V}$ is a CM variety, then $\mathcal{V}$ realizes some Σ such that Σ' is inconsistent. (The Day terms work.)
- The converse of the first statement is false: if Σ is the lattice axioms, then $\Sigma' = \Sigma$. But
- The converse of the first statement is true if Σ is linear (no nested composition in the terms occuring in Σ).
- For a finite linear, idempotent Σ one can effectively decide if Σ implies CM. This contrasts **McNulty's Theorem** that there is no effective way to decide if a (nonlinear) idempotent Σ implies CM.

The Theorems of Dent, Kearnes, Szendrei

- A similar theorem holds for $\mathcal{V}$ satisfying some congruence identity if

  "Σ' is inconsistent"

  is replaced by

  "$\Sigma^{(k)}$ is inconsistent for some k."

n-permutability

- The **order derivative**, Σ^+, augments Σ by

$$x \approx F(\mathbf{w}')$$

whenever $\Sigma \models x \approx F(\mathbf{w})$, where $\mathbf{w}'$ is the same as $\mathbf{w}$ in every place except one, say i, and $\mathbf{w}'_i = x$.

n-permutability

- The **order derivative**, Σ^+, augments Σ by

$$x \approx F(\mathbf{w}')$$

whenever $\Sigma \models x \approx F(\mathbf{w})$, where $\mathbf{w}'$ is the same as $\mathbf{w}$ in every place except one, say i, and $\mathbf{w}'_i = x$.

- If some iterated order derivative Σ^{+^k} of Σ is inconsistent then any variety that realizes Σ is congruence n-permutabile, for some n.

n-permutability

- The **order derivative**, Σ^+, augments Σ by

$$x \approx F(\mathbf{w}')$$

  whenever $\Sigma \models x \approx F(\mathbf{w})$, where $\mathbf{w}'$ is the same as $\mathbf{w}$ in every place except one, say i, and $\mathbf{w}'_i = x$.
- If some iterated order derivative Σ^{+^k} of Σ is inconsistent then any variety that realizes Σ is congruence n-permutabile, for some n.
- If $\mathcal{V}$ is a congruence n-permutabile, for some n, then $\mathcal{V}$ realizes some Σ whose iterated order derivative Σ^{+^k} is inconsistent. (The Hagemann-Mitschke terms work.)

n-permutability

- The **order derivative**, Σ^+, augments Σ by
$$x \approx F(\mathbf{w}')$$
whenever $\Sigma \models x \approx F(\mathbf{w})$, where $\mathbf{w}'$ is the same as $\mathbf{w}$ in every place except one, say i, and $\mathbf{w}'_i = x$.
- If some iterated order derivative Σ^{+^k} of Σ is inconsistent then any variety that realizes Σ is congruence n-permutabile, for some n.
- If $\mathcal{V}$ is a congruence n-permutabile, for some n, then $\mathcal{V}$ realizes some Σ whose iterated order derivative Σ^{+^k} is inconsistent. (The Hagemann-Mitschke terms work.)
- The converse of the first statement is false. But

n-permutability

- The **order derivative**, Σ^+, augments Σ by

$$x \approx F(\mathbf{w}')$$

  whenever $\Sigma \models x \approx F(\mathbf{w})$, where $\mathbf{w}'$ is the same as $\mathbf{w}$ in every place except one, say i, and $\mathbf{w}'_i = x$.
- If some iterated order derivative Σ^{+^k} of Σ is inconsistent then any variety that realizes Σ is congruence n-permutabile, for some n.
- If $\mathcal{V}$ is a congruence n-permutabile, for some n, then $\mathcal{V}$ realizes some Σ whose iterated order derivative Σ^{+^k} is inconsistent. (The Hagemann-Mitschke terms work.)
- The converse of the first statement is false. But
- The converse of the first statement is true if Σ is linear.

n-permutability

- The **order derivative**, Σ^+, augments Σ by

$$x \approx F(\mathbf{w}')$$

  whenever $\Sigma \models x \approx F(\mathbf{w})$, where $\mathbf{w}'$ is the same as $\mathbf{w}$ in every place except one, say i, and $\mathbf{w}'_i = x$.
- If some iterated order derivative Σ^{+^k} of Σ is inconsistent then any variety that realizes Σ is congruence n-permutabile, for some n.
- If $\mathcal{V}$ is a congruence n-permutabile, for some n, then $\mathcal{V}$ realizes some Σ whose iterated order derivative Σ^{+^k} is inconsistent. (The Hagemann-Mitschke terms work.)
- The converse of the first statement is false. But
- The converse of the first statement is true if Σ is linear.
- For a finite linear, idempotent Σ one can effectively decide if Σ implies congruence n-permutability, for some n.

Semidistributivity

- The **weak derivative**, Σ^*, augments Σ by an equation expressing that F is independent of its i^{th} place whenever

$$\Sigma \models x \approx F(x, \ldots, x, y, x, \ldots, x)$$

where the y is in the i^{th} place.

Semidistributivity

- The **weak derivative**, Σ^*, augments Σ by an equation expressing that F is independent of its i^{th} place whenever

$$\Sigma \models x \approx F(x, \ldots, x, y, x, \ldots, x)$$

where the y is in the i^{th} place.

- If some iterated weak derivative Σ^{*^k} of Σ is inconsistent then any variety that realizes Σ is congruence semidistributive.

Semidistributivity

- The **weak derivative**, Σ^*, augments Σ by an equation expressing that F is independent of its i^{th} place whenever

$$\Sigma \models x \approx F(x, \ldots, x, y, x, \ldots, x)$$

  where the y is in the i^{th} place.
- If some iterated weak derivative Σ^{*^k} of Σ is inconsistent then any variety that realizes Σ is congruence semidistributive.
- If $\mathcal{V}$ is a congruence semidistributive then $\mathcal{V}$ realizes some Σ whose iterated weak derivative Σ^{*^k} is inconsistent. (I'll show my variant of the Hobby-McKenzie-Kearnes-Kiss terms work.)

Semidistributivity

- The **weak derivative**, Σ^*, augments Σ by an equation expressing that F is independent of its i^{th} place whenever

$$\Sigma \models x \approx F(x, \ldots, x, y, x, \ldots, x)$$

  where the y is in the i^{th} place.
- If some iterated weak derivative Σ^{*^k} of Σ is inconsistent then any variety that realizes Σ is congruence semidistributive.
- If $\mathcal{V}$ is a congruence semidistributive then $\mathcal{V}$ realizes some Σ whose iterated weak derivative Σ^{*^k} is inconsistent. (I'll show my variant of the Hobby-McKenzie-Kearnes-Kiss terms work.)
- The converse of the first statement is false, **even if Σ is linear**. Nevertheless

Semidistributivity

- The **weak derivative**, Σ^*, augments Σ by an equation expressing that F is independent of its i^{th} place whenever

$$\Sigma \models x \approx F(x, \dots, x, y, x, \dots, x)$$

  where the y is in the i^{th} place.
- If some iterated weak derivative Σ^{*^k} of Σ is inconsistent then any variety that realizes Σ is congruence semidistributive.
- If $\mathcal{V}$ is a congruence semidistributive then $\mathcal{V}$ realizes some Σ whose iterated weak derivative Σ^{*^k} is inconsistent. (I'll show my variant of the Hobby-McKenzie-Kearnes-Kiss terms work.)
- The converse of the first statement is false, **even if Σ is linear**. Nevertheless
- For a finite linear, idempotent Σ one **can** effectively decide if Σ implies congruence semidistributivity.

My Maltsev Condition for Semidistributivity

- A variety is congruence semidistributive iff there are terms $d_i(x, y, z)$, $i = 0, \ldots, n$, such that

$$d_0(x, y, z) \approx x \quad d_n(x, y, z) \approx z$$

and

My Maltsev Condition for Semidistributivity

- A variety is congruence semidistributive iff there are terms $d_i(x, y, z)$, $i = 0, \ldots, n$, such that

$$d_0(x, y, z) \approx x \quad d_n(x, y, z) \approx z$$

and for each i two of the following three hold:

$$d_i(x, x, y) \approx d_{i+1}(x, x, y)$$
$$d_i(x, y, x) \approx d_{i+1}(x, y, x)$$
$$d_i(x, y, y) \approx d_{i+1}(x, y, y)$$

My Maltsev Condition for Semidistributivity

- A variety is congruence semidistributive iff there are terms $d_i(x, y, z)$, $i = 0, \dots, n$, such that

$$d_0(x, y, z) \approx x \quad d_n(x, y, z) \approx z$$

and for each i two of the following three hold:

$$d_i(x, x, y) \approx d_{i+1}(x, x, y)$$
$$d_i(x, y, x) \approx d_{i+1}(x, y, x)$$
$$d_i(x, y, y) \approx d_{i+1}(x, y, y)$$

- Let Σ be these equations. Assume inductively that Σ^{*^k} implies $x \approx d_i(x, y, z)$. Then, using the above equations, one can show that $\Sigma^{*^{k+2}}$ implies $x \approx d_{i+1}(x, y, z)$.

My Maltsev Condition for Semidistributivity

- A variety is congruence semidistributive iff there are terms $d_i(x, y, z)$, $i = 0, \ldots, n$, such that

$$d_0(x, y, z) \approx x \quad d_n(x, y, z) \approx z$$

  and for each i two of the following three hold:

$$\begin{aligned} d_i(x, x, y) &\approx d_{i+1}(x, x, y) \\ d_i(x, y, x) &\approx d_{i+1}(x, y, x) \\ d_i(x, y, y) &\approx d_{i+1}(x, y, y) \end{aligned}$$

- Let Σ be these equations. Assume inductively that ${\Sigma^*}^k$ implies $x \approx d_i(x, y, z)$. Then, using the above equations, one can show that ${\Sigma^*}^{k+2}$ implies $x \approx d_{i+1}(x, y, z)$.
- So some iterated weak derivative implies $x \approx d_n(x, y, z) \approx z$ and so is inconsistent.

Deciable properties of finite, idempotent linear Σ's

Theorem

For each property P listed below, given a finite, idempotent, linear set of equations Σ one can effectively decide if every variety that realizes Σ satisfies P.

Deciable properties of finite, idempotent linear Σ's

Theorem

For each property P listed below, given a finite, idempotent, linear set of equations Σ one can effectively decide if every variety that realizes Σ satisfies P.

- *Is congruence modular.*

Deciable properties of finite, idempotent linear Σ's

Theorem

For each property P listed below, given a finite, idempotent, linear set of equations Σ one can effectively decide if every variety that realizes Σ satisfies P.

- *Is congruence modular.*
- *Satisfies a nontrivial congruence identity.*

Deciable properties of finite, idempotent linear Σ's

Theorem

For each property P listed below, given a finite, idempotent, linear set of equations Σ one can effectively decide if every variety that realizes Σ satisfies P.

- *Is congruence modular.*
- *Satisfies a nontrivial congruence identity.*
- *Is congruence n-permutable for some n.*

Deciable properties of finite, idempotent linear Σ's

Theorem

For each property P listed below, given a finite, idempotent, linear set of equations Σ one can effectively decide if every variety that realizes Σ satisfies P.

- *Is congruence modular.*
- *Satisfies a nontrivial congruence identity.*
- *Is congruence n-permutable for some n.*
- *Is congruence semidistributive.*

Deciable properties of finite, idempotent linear Σ's

Theorem

For each property P listed below, given a finite, idempotent, linear set of equations Σ one can effectively decide if every variety that realizes Σ satisfies P.

- *Is congruence modular.*
- *Satisfies a nontrivial congruence identity.*
- *Is congruence n-permutable for some n.*
- *Is congruence semidistributive.*
- *Is congruence meet-semidistributive.*

Deciable properties of finite, idempotent linear Σ's

Theorem

For each property P listed below, given a finite, idempotent, linear set of equations Σ one can effectively decide if every variety that realizes Σ satisfies P.

- *Is congruence modular.*
- *Satisfies a nontrivial congruence identity.*
- *Is congruence n-permutable for some n.*
- *Is congruence semidistributive.*
- *Is congruence meet-semidistributive.*
- *Is congruence distributive.*