Assignment 3 – Part 1 – Math 411

- (1) Show that a "smallest" (the technical word is "minimal") generating set is indeed linearly independent. I.e. suppose that $S \subseteq V$ satisfies the following two properties:
 - (i) $\operatorname{Span}(S) = V$, and
 - (ii) for any $S' \subseteq V$ with the property that Span(S') = V, we have that $S' \not\subseteq S$.

Show that S is linearly independent.

- (2) Dually¹, show that a maximal linearly independent set is a generating set. First, translate this statement into two properties of a set S as I did in the first question, then prove the statement.
- (3) In the next lecture, we will discuss the notion of a *partial order* on a set X. A partial order, often denoted \leq , on the set X is a relation on X satisfying the following three conditions: for all $x, y, z \in X$,
 - (i) $x \le x$ (reflexivity),
 - (ii) if $x \leq y$ and $y \leq x$, then x = y (antisymmetry),
 - (iii) if $x \leq y$ and $y \leq z$, then $x \leq z$.
 - (a) Let $X = \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and recall that if $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we say that a divides b if there is a $d \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that b = ad. Since we already use \leq to mean something for integers, let's use a different notation for this new relation: say that $a \mid b$ if adivides b (this is actually the standard notation). Show that \mid is a partial order on $\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$.
 - (b) A minimal element for a partial order \leq on X is an element $x \in X$ such that there is no $y \in X$ with y < x (here y < x means $y \leq x$ and $y \neq x$). What are the minimal elements of the partial order | on $\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$.
 - (c) If Y ⊆ X and ≤ is a partial order on X, you can restrict ≤ to a partial order on Y (just say that for y, y' ∈ Y, y ≤ y' if that is true when thinking of y, y' as being elements of X). What are the minimal elements of the restriction of | to Z_{>2}.

©Robert Harron, 2015

¹The word 'dual' is used a lot in mathematics. Here, a generating set is like a big set and a linearly independent set is like a small set. We say that big and small are dual notions. The first question asks you to show that a minimal "big" set is "small", while the second question asks you to show 'dually' that a maximal "small" set is "big".