

When can $eX \equiv 1 \pmod{(p-1)(q-1)}$ be solved? How?

More generally, to solve

$$eX \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$$

Start with an assumption:

ASSUME $1 = \text{GCF}(e, m)$ (that is, e, m relatively prime)

Use the Euclidean Algorithm to find integers r, s such that

$$r \cdot e + s \cdot m = 1$$

Then of course $m \mid s \cdot m$, so $r \cdot e \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$

So we're done! (Just use this value of r .)

In the case of RSA, that's all we need; this r becomes the private exponent d .

We can do this more generally;

Suppose we want to solve the equation

$$eX \equiv b \pmod{m}$$

ASSUME FIRST THAT $\text{GCF}(e, m) \mid b$. That is, if

$g = \text{GCF}(e, m)$ then $b = gK$ for some integer K .

Again using the Euclidean algorithm, find r, s such that

$$r \cdot e + s \cdot m = g$$

Then (multiply by K) $(rK) \cdot e + \underbrace{(sK) \cdot m}_{\text{divisible by } m} = \underbrace{gK}_{= b}$

$\therefore e \cdot (rK) \equiv b \pmod{m}$,

so a solution is rK .

Example Solve $90x \equiv 18 \pmod{12}$

Use Euclidean Algorithm to find $\text{GCF}(90, 12)$:

$$90 = 12 \cdot 7 + 6$$

$$12 = \underline{6} \cdot 2 + 0$$

So $6 = \text{GCF}(90, 12)$. Note $6 \mid 18$, in fact $\frac{18}{6} = 3$ = This k (later).

Now,

$$6 = 90 - 12 \cdot 7 = \underbrace{1}_{\text{under } 1} \cdot 90 + \underbrace{(-7)}_{\text{under } 5} \cdot 12$$

and $18 = 3 \cdot 90 + \underbrace{(-21)}_{\substack{\text{divisible} \\ \text{by } 12}} \cdot 12$ (I just multiplied through by $k=3$ to get the 18 to show up)

$$\text{so } 90 \cdot 3 \equiv 18 \pmod{12}$$

so $x=3$ is a solution.

Finally, a comment about the assumption. In the $ex \equiv 1$ example we assumed e, m were relatively prime; in the $ex \equiv b \pmod{m}$ example we assumed $\text{GCF}(e, m) \mid b$. What if this isn't true?

Suppose we have any sol'n x of the equation $ex \equiv b \pmod{m}$.

Then $ex - b$ is a multiple of m , say $(ex - b) = tm$.

Rewrite: $b = tm - ex$.

The GCF of m and e must divide both m and e ,

so divides the right-hand side $(tm - ex)$, so divides

b . That tells us that our assumption was necessary,

that we can only solve $ex \equiv b \pmod{m}$ if $\text{GCF}(e, m) \mid b$.

Bye for now.